#### Fault Attacks on UOV and Rainbow

#### Juliane Krämer and Mirjam Loiero

Technische Universität Darmstadt Germany

COSADE 2019

April 05, 2019



- 1 Post-Quantum Cryptography
- 2 Multivariate Cryptography
- 3 Fault Attacks on UOV and Rainbow

# Post-Quantum Cryptography

# Post-Quantum Cryptography

# $\longrightarrow$ Classical (Public-Key) Cryptography threatened by $Quantum \ Computers$

# Superposition



#### 1994

#### Polynomial-Time Algorithms for Prime Factorization and Discrete Logarithms on a Quantum Computer<sup>\*</sup>

Peter W. Shor Room 2D-149 AT&T Bell Labs 600 Mountain Avenue Murray Hill, NJ 07974, USA email: shor@research.att.com

#### Abstract

A digital computer is generally believed to be an efficient universal computing device; that is, it is believed able to simulate any physical computing device with an increase in computation time of at most a polynomial factor. This may not be true when quantum mechanics is taken into consideration. This paper considers factoring integers and finding discrete logarithms, two problems which are generally thought to be hard on a classical computer and have been used as the basis of several proposed cryptosystems. Efficient randomized algorithms are given for these two problems on a hypothetical quantum computer. These algorithms take a number of steps polynomial in the input size, *e.g.*, the number of digits of the integer to be factored. Discrete Logarithm

Diffie-Hellman, DSA, El Gamal; ECC

# Factoring Problem

RSA

Discrete Logarithm

Diffie-Hellman, DSA, El Gamal; ECC

# Factoring Problem

RSA





• ... hash functions

• ... isogenies

... lattices

• ... linear codes

- ... hash functions
  - ✓ minimal security assumptions, RFC for XMSS
  - X only signature schemes possible
- ... isogenies

... lattices

• ... linear codes

- ... hash functions
  - ✓ minimal security assumptions, RFC for XMSS
  - X only signature schemes possible
- ... isogenies
  - $\checkmark$  can build on 25 years of research in ECC, simple parameter choice
  - × very slow, not sufficiently scrutinized
- ... lattices

• ... linear codes

- ... hash functions
  - ✓ minimal security assumptions, RFC for XMSS
  - X only signature schemes possible
- ... isogenies
  - $\checkmark$  can build on 25 years of research in ECC, simple parameter choice
  - × very slow, not sufficiently scrutinized
- ... lattices
  - ✓ versatile, security reductions
  - × complicated parameter choice
- ... linear codes

- ... hash functions
  - ✓ minimal security assumptions, RFC for XMSS
  - X only signature schemes possible
- ... isogenies
  - $\checkmark$  can build on 25 years of research in ECC, simple parameter choice
  - × very slow, not sufficiently scrutinized
- ... lattices
  - ✓ versatile, security reductions
  - × complicated parameter choice
- ... linear codes
  - ✓ McEliece encryption, unbroken since 40 years
  - 🗡 (too) large keys
- ... multivariate equations

- ... hash functions
  - ✓ minimal security assumptions, RFC for XMSS
  - X only signature schemes possible
- ... isogenies
  - $\checkmark$  can build on 25 years of research in ECC, simple parameter choice
  - × very slow, not sufficiently scrutinized
- ... lattices
  - ✓ versatile, security reductions
  - × complicated parameter choice
- ... linear codes
  - ✓ McEliece encryption, unbroken since 40 years
  - 🗡 (too) large keys
- ... multivariate equations
  - ✓ MQ-Problem NP hard, very small signatures, very fast
  - X all encryption schemes broken

... hash functions

minimal security assumptions, RFC for XMSS only signature schemes possible

... isogenies

can build on 25 years of research in ECC, simple parameter choice very slow, not sufficiently scrutinized

... lattices

versatile, security reductions

complicated parameter choice

... linear codes

McEliece encryption, unbroken since 40 years (too) large keys

- ✓ MQ-Problem NP hard, very small signatures, very fast
- X all encryption schemes broken

$$p^{(1)}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=i}^n p_{ij}^{(1)} \cdot x_i x_j + \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^{(1)} \cdot x_i + p_0^{(1)}$$
  

$$\vdots$$
  

$$p^{(m)}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=i}^n p_{ij}^{(m)} \cdot x_i x_j + \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^{(m)} \cdot x_i + p_0^{(m)}$$

$$p^{(1)}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=i}^n p_{ij}^{(1)} \cdot x_i x_j + \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^{(1)} \cdot x_i + p_0^{(1)}$$
  

$$\vdots$$
  

$$p^{(m)}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=i}^n p_{ij}^{(m)} \cdot x_i x_j + \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^{(m)} \cdot x_i + p_0^{(m)}$$

n

• central map  $\mathcal{F}: \mathbb{F}^n \to \mathbb{F}^m$  (easily invertible)

n

n

- two invertible affine maps  $\mathcal{T}: \mathbb{F}^m \to \mathbb{F}^m$  and  $\mathcal{S}: \mathbb{F}^n \to \mathbb{F}^n$
- public key:  $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{T} \circ \mathcal{F} \circ \mathcal{S}$
- private key:  $\mathcal{T}$ ,  $\mathcal{F}$ , and  $\mathcal{S}$

- message d
- hash value  $\mathbf{w} = \mathcal{H}(d)$
- signature z



- message d
- hash value  $\mathbf{w} = \mathcal{H}(d)$
- signature **z**



#### **Signature Verification**

#### Fault Attacks on UOV and Rainbow

- targeting signature schemes UOV and Rainbow
- goal: reveal the affine maps  ${\mathcal T}$  or  ${\mathcal S}$
- decrease the complexity of a linear algebra attack by a fault attack

#### Fault Attacks on UOV and Rainbow

- targeting signature schemes UOV and Rainbow
- goal: reveal the affine maps  ${\mathcal T}$  or  ${\mathcal S}$
- decrease the complexity of a linear algebra attack by a fault attack
- based on Hashimoto, Takagi, Sakurai: General fault attacks on multivariate public key cryptosystems, PQCrypto 2011

a permanent fault

a permanent fault changes a single coefficient

a permanent fault changes a single coefficient of the central map  $\ensuremath{\mathcal{F}}$ 

a permanent fault changes a single coefficient of the central map  ${\mathcal F}$  during signature generation

a permanent fault changes a single coefficient of the central map  ${\mathcal F}$  during signature generation

- randomly chosen message *h*
- faulty signature of  $h: z' := S^{-1}(\mathcal{F}'^{-1}(\mathcal{T}^{-1}(h)))$

a permanent fault changes a single coefficient of the central map  ${\cal F}$  during signature generation

- randomly chosen message h
- faulty signature of  $h: z' := S^{-1}(\mathcal{F}'^{-1}(\mathcal{T}^{-1}(h)))$

$$\begin{split} \delta &= h - h' = \mathscr{P}'(z') - \mathscr{P}(z') \\ &= (\mathscr{T} \circ \mathscr{F}' \circ \mathscr{S})(z') - (\mathscr{T} \circ \mathscr{F} \circ \mathscr{S})(z') \\ &= (\mathscr{T} \circ \mathscr{F}' \circ \mathscr{S}(z')) - (\mathscr{T} \circ \mathscr{F} \circ \mathscr{S}(z')) \\ &= (T \circ (\mathscr{F}' - \mathscr{F}) \circ \mathscr{S})(z'). \end{split}$$

- several rounds, at least m-1
- deduce information about T, the linear part of T
- apply the MinRank attack (with reduced complexity) to completely recover the affine map  ${\cal T}$

- several rounds, at least m-1
- deduce information about T, the linear part of  $\mathcal T$
- apply the MinRank attack (with reduced complexity) to completely recover the affine map  ${\cal T}$
- 27 faults needed for current parameters

# Fault Attack on the Random Values $1/2 \label{eq:and_star}$

a permanent fault

a permanent fault fixes some of the random vinegar variables

a permanent fault fixes some of the random vinegar variables of the central map  $\ensuremath{\mathcal{F}}$ 

- variables  $x_i$ ,  $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$  divided into o oil and v vinegar variables with n = o + v and v > o
- vinegar variables randomly assigned during signature generation

- variables  $x_i$ ,  $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$  divided into o oil and v vinegar variables with n = o + v and v > o
- vinegar variables randomly assigned during signature generation
- $\Rightarrow$  fixed-randomness attack

- variables  $x_i$ ,  $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$  divided into o oil and v vinegar variables with n = o + v and v > o
- vinegar variables randomly assigned during signature generation
- $\Rightarrow$  fixed-randomness attack
  - u<sub>2</sub> number of fixed vinegar variables
  - randomly chosen message  $h^{(i)}, i \in \{1, \dots, n u_2 + 1\}$
  - (faulty) signatures  $z^{(i)}, i \in \{1, \dots, n u_2 + 1\}$

- (faulty) signatures allow simpler representation of S, the linear part of S
- apply the MinRank attack (with reduced complexity) to completely recover the affine map  ${\cal S}$
- success probability of  $\geq 0.933$  for fields  $\mathbb{F}_{16}$ ,  $\mathbb{F}_{31}$ ,  $\mathbb{F}_{256}$

#### Countermeasures

• smaller fields are better protected than larger fields (e.g.,  $\mathbb{F}_{16}$  instead of  $\mathbb{F}_{256})$ 

#### Countermeasures

• smaller fields are better protected than larger fields (e.g.,  $\mathbb{F}_{16}$  instead of  $\mathbb{F}_{256})$ 

Attack on the Central Map

checksums

#### Countermeasures

• smaller fields are better protected than larger fields (e.g.,  $\mathbb{F}_{16}$  instead of  $\mathbb{F}_{256})$ 

Attack on the Central Map

checksums

Attack on the Random Values

• save and compare values

#### Future Work

- Further analysis of countermeasures (more in the paper)
- Practical experiments
- Analyzing further attack vectors (FA and SCA)

#### Conclusion

promising attack vectors exist

#### Conclusion

#### promising attack vectors exist no known attack leads to complete key recovery

#### Conclusion

#### promising attack vectors exist no known attack leads to complete key recovery

 $\Rightarrow$  multivariate signature schemes inherently offer a good protection against fault attacks

#### References

- Schrödingers Katze LEIFI Physik https://www.leifiphysik.de/atomphysik/ quantenmech-atommodell/versuche/schroedingers-katze-ein-gedankenexperiment
- Alice and Bob communicate without transferring a single photon Physics World https://physicsworld.com/a/ alice-and-bob-communicate-without-transferring-a-single-photon/
- Dilbert Comic Strip on 2012-04-17 Dilbert by Scott Adams https://dilbert.com/strip/2012-04-17

All links have been opened on February 6, 2019, at 12:15 pm, for the last time

Juliane Krämer jkraemer@cdc.tu-darmstadt.de

(open PhD position ☺)